1 2 3 4 5	Ramiro Morales State Bar No. 007101 William C. Reeves State Bar No. 008235 MORALES FIERRO & REEVES 600 S. Tonopah Drive, Suite 300 Las Vegas, NV 89106 Telephone: 702/699-7822 Facsimile: 702/699-9455	
6 7	Attorneys for Defendant/Third Party Plaintiff St. Paul Fire and Marine Insurance Company	
8	UNITED STATE	S DISTRICT COURT
9	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
10	CENTEX HOMES,	) Case No.: 2:17-cv-02407-JAD-VCF
11	Plaintiff,	) STIPULATION AND ORDER
12	VS.	DISMISSING THIRD PARTY DEFENDANT FIRST SPECIALTY
13	ST. PAUL FIRE & MARINE INS. CO., et al.	) INSURANCE CORPORATION WITH ) PREJUDICE FROM THIRD PARTY
14	Defendants.	) COMPLAINT OF ST. PAUL FIRE AND ) MARINE INSURANCE COMPANY [DKT
15		) NO. 38]
16	and related matter(s).	) ECF No. 283
17	and related matter(s).	)
18	Third Party Plaintiff St. Paul Fire & Marine Ins. Co. ("St. Paul") and Third Party Defendants	
19	First Specialty Ins. Corp. ("FSIC") and Certain Underwriters at Lloyd's, London ("Lloyds")	
20	stipulate and agree by and through counsel as follows:	
21	WHEREAS, this matter is an insurance coverage action Centex Homes filed against various	
22	insurance companies arising from an underlying construction defect matter;	
23	WHEREAS, St. Paul previously filed a Third Party Complaint ("TPC") [Dkt. No. 38];	
24	WHEREAS, the only remaining parties to the TPC are St. Paul, FSIC and Lloyds	
25	(collectively "Parties") as all remaining Third Party Defendants were previously dismissed;	
26	WHEREAS, a settlement has now been reached that resolves all the claims asserted in St.	
27	Paul's TPC against FSIC; and	
28		

STIPULATION

## 

1	WHEREAS, the Parties stipulate for the Court to issue an order dismissing St. Paul's Third-	
2	Party Complaint against FSIC with prejudice, with both St. Paul and FSIC bearing their own	
3	attorneys fees and costs.	
4	IT IS SO AGREED.	
5	Dated: October 7, 2020	
6	MORALES FIERRO & REEVES THE GRAD LAW FIRM	
7		
8	By: /s/ William C. Reeves  William C. Reeves  Laleaque Grad  Laleaque Grad	
9	Morales Fierro & Reeves The Grad Law Firm Attorneys for St. Paul Attorneys for FSIC	
10	ROPERS MAJESKI	
11	By /s/Timothy Lepore	
12	By /s/Timothy Lepore Timothy Lepore Ropers Majeski	
13	Attorneys for Lloyds	
14	ORDER	
- 1	ORDER	
15		
15 16	Based on the stipulation between St. Paul fire and Marine Insurance Company,	
16 17	Based on the stipulation between St. Paul fire and Marine Insurance Company, Certain Underwriters at Lloyd's London, and First Specialty Insurance Corporation [ECF No. 283], which I construe as a joint motion under Local Rule 7-1(c) because it was	
16 17 18	Certain Underwriters at Lloyd's London, and First Specialty Insurance Corporation [ECF No. 283], which I construe as a joint motion under Local Rule 7-1(c) because it was signed by fewer than all the parties or their attorneys, and with good cause appearing, IT	
16 17 18 19	Certain Underwriters at Lloyd's London, and First Specialty Insurance Corporation [ECF No. 283], which I construe as a joint motion under Local Rule 7-1(c) because it was signed by fewer than all the parties or their attorneys, and with good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that ALL CLAIMS AGAINST FIRST SPECIALTY INSURANCE CORPORATION are DISMISSED with prejudice, each side to bear its	
16 17 18 19 20	Certain Underwriters at Lloyd's London, and First Specialty Insurance Corporation [ECF No. 283], which I construe as a joint motion under Local Rule 7-1(c) because it was signed by fewer than all the parties or their attorneys, and with good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that ALL CLAIMS AGAINST FIRST SPECIALTY	
16 17 18 19 20 21	Certain Underwriters at Lloyd's London, and First Specialty Insurance Corporation [ECF No. 283], which I construe as a joint motion under Local Rule 7-1(c) because it was signed by fewer than all the parties or their attorneys, and with good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that ALL CLAIMS AGAINST FIRST SPECIALTY INSURANCE CORPORATION are DISMISSED with prejudice, each side to bear its	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Certain Underwriters at Lloyd's London, and First Specialty Insurance Corporation [ECF No. 283], which I construe as a joint motion under Local Rule 7-1(c) because it was signed by fewer than all the parties or their attorneys, and with good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that ALL CLAIMS AGAINST FIRST SPECIALTY INSURANCE CORPORATION are DISMISSED with prejudice, each side to bear its own fees and costs.  U.S. District Judge Jennifer A. Dorsey	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Certain Underwriters at Lloyd's London, and First Specialty Insurance Corporation [ECF No. 283], which I construe as a joint motion under Local Rule 7-1(c) because it was signed by fewer than all the parties or their attorneys, and with good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that ALL CLAIMS AGAINST FIRST SPECIALTY INSURANCE CORPORATION are DISMISSED with prejudice, each side to bear its own fees and costs.	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Certain Underwriters at Lloyd's London, and First Specialty Insurance Corporation [ECF No. 283], which I construe as a joint motion under Local Rule 7-1(c) because it was signed by fewer than all the parties or their attorneys, and with good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that ALL CLAIMS AGAINST FIRST SPECIALTY INSURANCE CORPORATION are DISMISSED with prejudice, each side to bear its own fees and costs.  U.S. District Judge Jennifer A. Dorsey	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Certain Underwriters at Lloyd's London, and First Specialty Insurance Corporation [ECF No. 283], which I construe as a joint motion under Local Rule 7-1(c) because it was signed by fewer than all the parties or their attorneys, and with good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that ALL CLAIMS AGAINST FIRST SPECIALTY INSURANCE CORPORATION are DISMISSED with prejudice, each side to bear its own fees and costs.  U.S. District Judge Jennifer A. Dorsey	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	Certain Underwriters at Lloyd's London, and First Specialty Insurance Corporation [ECF No. 283], which I construe as a joint motion under Local Rule 7-1(c) because it was signed by fewer than all the parties or their attorneys, and with good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that ALL CLAIMS AGAINST FIRST SPECIALTY INSURANCE CORPORATION are DISMISSED with prejudice, each side to bear its own fees and costs.  U.S. District Judge Jennifer A. Dorsey	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Certain Underwriters at Lloyd's London, and First Specialty Insurance Corporation [ECF No. 283], which I construe as a joint motion under Local Rule 7-1(c) because it was signed by fewer than all the parties or their attorneys, and with good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that ALL CLAIMS AGAINST FIRST SPECIALTY INSURANCE CORPORATION are DISMISSED with prejudice, each side to bear its own fees and costs.  U.S. District Judge Jennifer A. Dorsey	